# **Machine-assisted learning: enhancing evolutionary rules extraction algorithm with expert knowledge**

Vili Podgorelec, Marjan Heričko, Ivan Rozman University of Maribor, FERI Institute of Informatics, Maribor, Slovenia

**Abstract.** Machine learning is a very important aspect for improving experts' everyday work. Not that it only helps in a routine task such as classification, where the state-of-the-art learning tools are a necessity; machine learning also helps in learning new patterns, which potentially reveal a whole new perspective onto areas not even imaginable so far. In order to squeeze as much out of machines as possible, an expert assistance in a learning process could be of vital importance to achieve the desirable results. In the paper we present a possible approach to enhance the machine learning algorithm with the help of experts' assessment of the progressing solution in order to find new patterns in the available data. We call the process machine-assisted learning.

The method for automatic extraction of rules is presented that is based on the evolutionary induction of decision trees and automatic programming. The method is applied to a cardiovascular database, several sets of rules are induced upon different groups of attributes which should possibly reveal the presence of some specific cardiovascular problems in young patients. The obtained rules are assessed by physicians to evaluate the strength of the developed knowledge discovery method.

## **1. Introduction**

The general idea of discovering knowledge in large amounts of data is both appealing and intuitive, but technically it is significantly challenging and difficult, especially in the field of medicine where really huge amounts of row data have been collected over last decades or even centuries. The medicine, generally speaking, is a conservative science, where every new knowledge has to be fully acknowledged and well understood – these put additional constraints on the knowledge discovery process.

In the present paper we attack the above challenge and introduce a new approach to rules induction from medical datasets with the help of physicians' assessment of the progressing solution in order to find new patterns in the available data.

Milojka Molan Štiglic Maribor Teaching Hospital Department of Pediatric Surgery Maribor, Slovenia

## **2. Knowledge Discovery Method**

Almost since their introduction decision trees (DTs) have been exhaustively used as a classification method, showing a great potential in several domains. Their efficiency and classification accuracy have surprised many experts, but their most important advantage is the transparency of the classification process that one can easily interpret, understand and criticize. However, the classical induction approach of DTs, that has not changed much since the introduction, contains several disadvantages, like 1) poor processing of incomplete, noisy data, 2) inability to build several trees for the same dataset, 3) inability to use the preferred attributes, etc.

For all those reasons a need for an approach that would preserve the positive aspects of DTs and avoid their disadvantages emerged. Encouraged by the success of evolutionary algorithms for optimisation tasks a series of attempts occurred to induce a DT-like models with evolutionary methods [1, 9, 10, 11]. Despite their high classification accuracy, GPs have proven extremely difficult to interpret – this is a major obstacle to their use in data mining problems. Nevertheless, many researchers have tried to use the power of GAs/GPs for the problem of data mining and knowledge discovery [12, 13].

As we learn from the history, the ideal choice for effective, accurate and efficient data mining algorithm would be to combine the power of GA/GP with the interpretability of DTs (or rule sets) in a successful way. In this paper we present one such approach that shows a great potential both in data mining (classification) and knowledge discovery.

As a knowledge discovery system we developed a rule extraction method called AREX, that is able to induce a set of classification rules based on the given dataset. For the purpose of searching for new knowledge in medical datasets, the developed algorithms had to be modified in some aspects.



**Figure 1.** The outline of our approach to the discovery of new knowledge.

In the first run the AREX algorithm generates a set of rules (see bellow), which are then assessed by physicians according to medical relevance and originality. The rules, which are at the same time relevant and represent some new knowledge, are stored in the database of good rules. In the next runs AREX give the bonus preference to rules that use the same attributes and parts of the rules stored in the database. After several runs the quality of the rules produced by AREX is significantly improved regarding the preference criteria given by physicians and the process eventually leads to some new knowledge. The outline of our approach is presented in figure 1.

# 2.1. Automatic rules extracting algorithm AREX

Knowledge discovered with data mining algorithms should ideally give an in-depth explanation of a problem domain along with a good classification [3,6,7]. Experts are performing exhaustive analyses of the results, which are the output of knowledge discovery tools, in order to extract the useful knowledge. To make a part of their work as easy as possible the best way is to present the results in a form of set of classification rules, which are clear and straightforward to understand, accept or reject. Ideal system would therefore include:

− accuracy – classification with minimal error rate,

- − compactness use of a minimum number of rules, and
- − simplicity single rules are not complex.

Data to use as the source for knowledge discovery system are represented with the set of training objects  $o_1$ , ...,  $o_N$ . Each training object  $o_i$  is described with the values of attributes  $a_{il}$ , ...,  $a_{ik}$  and the accompanied decision  $\omega_i$  from the set of *m* possible decisions  $[\Omega_i]$ , *…,*<sup>Ω</sup>*m*]. Attributes can be either numeric (value is a numbers from a continues interval) or discrete (value is one from the discrete set of all possible values). Of course it is not necessary for all values to be known, as the algorithm can work also with missing values.

Knowledge that is discovered with the help of our algorithm is represented with a set of classification rules. Each single rule in a set is in the following form:

if <condition> then <decision>, where  $\langle$ condition> :=  $\langle c_1 \rangle$  and ... and  $\langle c_d \rangle$ , and <decision> := ω, <sup>ω</sup> ∈ [Ω*1, …,* <sup>Ω</sup>*m*]

In this manner the rules are clear and easy enough for further analyses and in the same time their functional power is strong enough for successful classification. A set of classification rules can lose on the understandability if the number of rules in a set is too high. On the other hand the classification accuracy

would decrease if the number of classification rules in a set is too low. Following this statement all the rules are arranged regarding their importance into several levels to form the hierarchical classification model (figure 2).

An approach to the distribution of data objects into subsets that will be covered by the rules on a specific level is defined first. For this purpose an algorithm for the construction of decision trees was defined  $[1]$  – with the help of classification by decision trees it can be determined which objects are appropriate to be used on a specific level of classification.



**Figure 2.** Multi-level hierarchical classification model.

The complete rules extracting algorithm has been called AREX (*Automatic Rules EXtractor*). AREX uses as the input a training set of objects and based on those objects classification rules are built, hierarchically distributed over several levels. Algorithm AREX includes a hybrid system of two basic algorithms: 1) an evolutionary algorithm for the construction of decision trees [1] that is used to distribute the objects between levels and to build a part of the initial set of classification rules, and 2) *proGenesys* system that allows automatic evolution of programs in an arbitrary programming language [2] and is used for the construction of classification rules on a single level. The basic outline of the AREX algorithm is illustrated in figure 3 and can be described in the following steps:

- 1. input: a set of training objects *S* and clearness tolerance *t*
- 2. build *N* evolutionary decision trees upon objects from *S*
- 3. copy all objects from *S*, classified in leaves in accordance with clearness tolerance *t* in at least  $\lceil N/2 \rceil$ +1 trees, to a set *S*<sup>\*</sup> and clear from a set *S*
- 4. from all *N* decision trees create *M* initial classification rules (all leaves in accordance with clearness tolerance *t* are used)
- 5. with the *proGenesys* system create another *M* classification rules randomly; initial population now contains *2*×*M* classification rules
- 6. using the *proGenesys* system evolve the final set of rules for classifying objects from *S\** at the current level
- 7. find the optimal final set of rules using simple optimization
- 8. if *S* is not empty (there are still some unclassified objects for the next level): 1) add |*S*| randomly chosen objects from *S\** to *S*; 2) increase clearness tolerance  $t=2\times t$ ; 3) repeat the whole procedure from step 2
- 9. finish if *S* is empty

# 2.2. Genetic algorithm for construction of decision trees

First step of the genetic algorithm is the induction of the initial population. A random decision tree is constructed based on the following algorithm:

- 1. input: number of attribute nodes N that will be in the tree
- 2. select an attribute  $X_i$  from the set of all possible attributes and set it as a rood node *t*
- 3. in accordance with the selected attribute's *Xi* type (discrete, continuous) define a test for this node *t*: 1) for continuous attributes in a form of  $f_i(X_i) < \phi_i$ , where  $f_i(X_i)$  is the attribute value for a data object and  $\phi_i$  is a split constant; 2) for discrete attributes two disjunctive sets of all possible attribute values are randomly defined
- 4. connect empty leaves to both new branches from node *t*
- 5. randomly select an empty leaf node *t* (the probability of selecting an empty leaf is decreased with the depth of the leaf in a growing tree)
- 6. randomly select an attribute  $X_i$  from the set of all possible attributes (the probability of choosing an attribute depends on a number of previous uses of that attribute in a tree – in this manner unused attributes have better chances to be selected)
- 7. replace the selected leaf node *t* with the attribute *Xi* and go to step 3
- 8. finish when *N* attribute nodes has been created



**Figure 3.** The diagram of AREX algorithm.

For each empty leaf the following algorithm determines the appropriate decision class: let *S* be the training set of all training objects *N* with *K* possible decision classes  $d_1$ , ..,  $d_k$  and  $N_i$  is the number of objects within *S* of a class  $d_i$ . Let  $S^t$  be the sample set at node *t* (an empty leaf for which we are trying to select a decision class) with  $N<sup>t</sup>$  objects;  $N<sub>i</sub><sup>t</sup>$  is the number of objects within  $S^t$  of a decision class  $d_i$ . Now we can define a function that measures a potential percentage of correctly classified objects of a class *di*:

$$
F(t,i) = \frac{N_i^t}{N_i}
$$
 (1)

Decision  $d_i^t$  for the leaf node *t* is then set as a decision  $d_i$ , for which  $F(t, i)$  is maximal.

The ranking of an individual DT within a population is based on the local FF:

$$
LFF = \sum_{i=1}^{K} w_i \cdot (1 - acc_i) + \sum_{i=1}^{N} c(t_i) + w_u \cdot nu \qquad (2)
$$

where *K* is the number of decision classes, *N* is the number of attribute nodes in a tree,  $acc_i$  is the accuracy of classification of objects of a specific decision class *di*,  $w_i$  is the importance weight for classifying the objects of a decision class  $d_i$ ,  $c(t_i)$  is the cost of using the attribute in a node  $t_i$ ,  $nu$  is number of unused decision (leaf) nodes, i.e. where no object from the training set fall into, and  $w_u$  is the weight of the presence of unused decision nodes in a tree.

# 2.3. System *proGenesys* for automatic evolution of rules

For constructing classification rules we developed a system for the evolution of programs in an arbitrary programming language, described with BNF

productions – *proGenesys* (program generation based on genetic systems) [2]. In our approach an individual is represented with a syntax tree (a derivation tree). To get the final solution this tree (genotype) is transformed into a program (phenotype) [8]. In the case of rule induction, a single rule is represented as a simple program (i.e. a derivation tree), that evolves through generations.

A good classification rule should simultaneously be clear (most of the objects covered by the rule should fall into the same decision class) and general (it covers many objects – otherwise it tends to be too specific). Those two criteria can be measured with the following formulas:

$$
generality = \frac{num. of classified objects - 1}{num. of objects of this decision class}
$$
 (3)

$$
clearness = 1 - \frac{\frac{a_2}{\Omega_2}}{\frac{a_1}{\Omega_1}}
$$
 (4)

where  $\omega_l$  is number of objects covered by the rule that belong to the most frequent decision class,  $\omega_2$  is number of objects covered by the rule that belong to the second most frequent decision class,  $Q_l$  is number of all objects in the training set that belong to the most frequent decision class of the rule, and <sup>Ω</sup>*2* is number of all objects in the training set that belong to the second most frequent class of the rule. Now a fitness function can be defined as

$$
FF = clearness \times generality + \sum_{i=1}^{N} c(t_i)
$$
 (5)

where the last part represents a cost of the use of specific attributes, the same as in the local fitness function *LFF* in building decision trees.

#### 2.4. Finding the optimal set of rules

System *proGenesys* is used to evolve single rules, whereas for the classification of all objects on a specific level a set of rules is required. For this purpose between all the evolved rules a set of rules should be found that together classify all the objects – with high classification accuracy and a small number of rules. A problem is solved with a simple genetic algorithm that optimizes the following fitness function:

$$
FF = \sum_{i=1}^{K} w_i \cdot (1 - acc_i) + \sum_{i=1}^{N} c(t_i) + w_m \cdot nm + w_u \cdot nu
$$
 (6)

where the first two parts are the same as in the LFF for building decision trees, and instead of a penalty for unused decision nodes penalties for multiple classified objects and non-classified objects are used.

#### **3. Case study**

The early and accurate identification of cardiovascular problems in children patients is of vital importance. In order to help the clinicians in diagnosing the type of the cardiovascular problems in young patients, computerized data mining and decision support tools are used which are able to help clinicians to process a huge amount of data available from solving previous cases and suggest the probable diagnosis based on the values of several important attributes. Clearly, black-box classification methods (neural networks for example) are not appropriate for this kind of task, because the clinical experts need to evaluate and validate the decision making process, induced by those tools, before there is enough trust to use the tools in practice.

On the other hand, the evaluation of the induced classification rules produced by the computerized tools by a clinical expert can be an important source of new knowledge on how to make a diagnosis based on the available attributes. In order to achieve this goal, the classification process should be easily understandable and straightforward. Different kinds of knowledge discovery methods are therefore appropriate to do the job, and we decided to use the developed knowledge discovery method presented above.

## 3.1. Data sets

Two cardiovascular datasets have been composed to be used for the knowledge discovery process. Each of them contains data from 100 patients from Maribor Hospital. A protocol has been defined to collect the important data. The attributes include general data (age, sex, etc.), a health status (data from family history and child's previous illnesses), a general cardiovascular data (blood pressure, pulse, chest pain, etc.) and more specialized cardiovascular data – data from child's

cardiac history and clinical examinations (with findings of ultrasound, ECG, etc.).

In the first dataset three different diagnoses are possible: innocent heart murmur, congenital heart disease, palpitations with chest pain. In the second dataset five different diagnosis were possible: innocent heart murmur, congenital heart disease with left to right shunt, aortic valve disease with aorta coarctation, arrhythmias, and chest pain.

Because the first database is actually the simplified version of the second one, we decided to use in our study only the second one.

#### 3.2. Results

With our algorithm several rule-sets were evolved, which should provide some information on how the diagnosis of the cardiovascular problems can be made. Some interesting patterns have been discovered, especially for those decisions, which were not very often between the patients. This fact speaks in favor to our hypothesis that new patterns can be found in the cardiovascular data with the use of the appropriate knowledge discovery algorithm. An example of the induced rule-set is presented in table 1, together with the physician's evaluation of each rule.

#### **4. Conclusions**

The results of searching for patterns in cardiovascular data, obtained with the presented approach to knowledge discovery with the help of physicians' assessment, turned out to be very good. The physician's evaluation of the final solution – a set of rules obtained through an iterative rule induction process – show that our method AREX produces mostly correct and reliable rules. What is especially important is the fact that between the rules in the induced ruleset some rules show a potential to be new knowledge. The assessment of physicians regarding the "goodness" of induced rules during the process defines the preferences criteria for inducing the following generations of rules. In this manner, more and more rules are induced, which comply with the given preferences by physicians. We may say that the obtained results satisfy our intentions and, more importantly, equip the physicians with a powerful technique to 1) confirm their existing knowledge about some medical problem, and 2) enable searching for new facts, which should reveal some new interesting patterns and possibly improve the existing medical knowledge.

## **References**

- [1] V. Podgorelec, P. Kokol, Evolutionary induced decision trees for dangerous software modules prediction, Information Processing Letters, (2002) 82(1): 31-38.
- [2] V. Podgorelec, ProGenesys program generation tool based on genetic systems, Proceedings of the ICAI'99, 299-302 (1999).
- [3] J. Han and M. Kamber, Data Mining: Concepts and Techniques, Morgan Kaufmann Publishers, Inc., (2000).
- [4] H.R. Anderson et al, Clinicians Illustrated Dictionary of Cardiology, Science Press, London (1991).
- [5] P. Kokol et al, Decision Trees and Automatic Learning and Their Use in Cardiology, Journal of Medical Systems, (1994) 19(4).
- [6] U.M. Fayad, et al, Advances in Knowledge Discovery and Data Minning, AAAI, 1996.
- [7] S.M. Weiss, N. Indurkhya, Predictive Data Mining, Morgan – Kaufman, (1998).
- [8] C. Ryan, Shades a polygenic inheritance scheme, Proceedings of Mendel'97 Conference, , 140-147 (1997).
- [9] K.A. DeJong, Hybrid learning using genetic algorithms and decision trees for pattern classification, Proceedings of the IJCAI Conference, 1995.
- [10] P.D. Turney, Cost-sensitive classification: empirical evaluation of a hybrid genetic decision tree induction algorithm, Journal of Artificial Intelligence Research, 2, 369-409, 1995.
- [11] A. Papagelis, D. Kalles, Breeding decision trees using evolutionary techniques, Proceedings of the ICML'01, 2001.
- [12] V. Podgorelec, P. Kokol, Towards more optimal medical diagnosing with evolutionary algorithms, Journal of Medical Systems, 25(3): 195-220, 2001.
- [13] A.A. Freitas, A survey of evolutionary algorithms for data mining and knowledge discovery, Advances in Evolutionary Computation, Springer-Verlag, 819-845, 2002.

**Table 1.** The induced set of rules for the dataset "Data from Clinical Examinations and Child's History", together with the physician's evaluation of each induced rule. The classes are: class 0 is innocent heart murmur; class 1 is congenital heart disease with left to right shunt; class 2 is aortic valve disease with aorta coarctation; class 3 is arrhythmias; and class 4 is chest pain.

